

I P S C

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☒ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
 Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☒ Other (please specify) PSG

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Good

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Good!

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

3 Regular mail

2 Fax

1 ☒ E-mail to my individual address


4 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

 Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

**Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum**

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☒ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

NO USEPA Headquarters - ATSDR
NO Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
NO Federal Register notice
NO Information sent by Utah DEQ
NO Information sent by Arizona DEQ - ADHS
NO Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

☒ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☒ Other (please specify) a friend who had received a flyer via ASU-West attendee on 8-22-98.

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

All exposure routes need to be considered, not just ingestion. Low dose, long term effects are needed for full exposure assessment needs. As well as, synergistic and accumulative effects.

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☒ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Community involvement through encouragement & invitation. The materials state "Purpose of the Forum"; with 2 of the 3 items stating Purpose is to 1) provide the public @ real time & 2) Listen to Public (more, over) Concerns. Yet the "public" was the least informed to know to even come

Especially when, within the materials presented here today, that open-burn & open-detonation are listed as a required increased demand

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

1 Regular mail

2 Fax

3 E-mail to my individual address

5 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

4 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

6 Other

#7. would help to improve "Initial Objectives" re: ^{Partnership} @ "ALL" stakeholders


8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

1) Annie Tavares
Cornell Long
Ron Porter } good informational presentations
Thank you

2) Inter Agency Steering Committee is not designed to include community public input in category listed as "membership."

3) Meetings & Forum need same communications & notice to the public - community as the steering committee to achieve success to "hear" public & stakeholder concerns
Thank you for your comments and suggestions
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

4) "Bottom Line" - states "Info. to the Public" ... Needs to dare to be BOLD & Integrate the public



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☒ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

☐ Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☒ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

More BASIC, non-technical session or introduction -
most started at too much high a level

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:


6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- ☐ Regular mail
- ☐ Fax
- ☒ E-mail to my individual address
- ☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- ☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- ☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

**Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum**

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

☐ Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☒ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

- 155
- ~~ORANGE~~
~~Phx CHZ~~ 28
~~Phx Storm Water~~ 20
~~Phx AS~~ 15
~~Chad. D/OB~~ 14
~~ADD PS~~ 18
- ~~Phx CHZ~~ 40
~~Phx Storm Water~~ 15
~~Phx AS~~ 14
~~Chad. D/OB~~ 10
~~ADD PS~~ 10
5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

Excellent!

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- ☐ Regular mail
☐ Fax
☒ E-mail to my individual address
☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

_____ August 25

X August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

____ USEPA Headquarters

Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

Federal Register notice

Information sent by Utah DEQ

Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency?

_____ Newspaper article - which newspaper?

X Other (please specify) Co-Worker

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

~~Yes~~ Yes

No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

Excellent

~~X~~ Good

 Fair

_____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

THE UPFRONT PRESENTATIONS (OPENING REMARKS & BACKGROUND) WERE REDUNDANT & CONSUMED MORE TIME THAN WAS JUSTIFIED BY THE AMOUNT OF INFO SHARED

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☒ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☐ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

____ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

____ USEPA Headquarters

____ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

____ Federal Register notice

____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes

____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

____ Excellent

☒ Good

____ Fair

____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

MAKE SURE THE HARD COPY MATERIAL MATCH THE OVERHEAD/SLIDES
~ SOME OF THE SLIDES DIDN'T REPRODUCE ON THE HANDOUTS

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

• FLOWED NICELY

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

• GREAT LOCATION

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

2 Regular mail

3 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address

4 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)


5 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

6 Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Nice ~~FEEDBACK~~ OVERVIEW

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

____ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

____ USEPA Headquarters

____ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

____ Federal Register notice

____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

____ Excellent

☒ Good

____ Fair

____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

4 ☐ Regular mail

5 ☐ Fax

2 ☐ E-mail to my individual address

1 ☒ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)


3 ☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

None

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

**Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum**

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☒ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

☐ Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☒ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

IS there any plans to perform any studies on soil and any health effects?

Are there any plans to target specific industries that

(more, over) use/manufacture perchlorates (i.e., - ^{Auto} AIR BAG)?

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
_____ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:


6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
_____ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- _____ Regular mail
- _____ Fax
- _____ E-mail to my individual address
- _____ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- _____ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- _____ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

_____ August 25

X August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

X USEPA Headquarters

X Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

_____ Federal Register notice

_____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

_____ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

_____ Information sent by another IPSC member

_____ Which agency? _____

_____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

X Excellent

_____ Good

_____ Fair

_____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

2 Regular mail

3 Fax

1X E-mail to my individual address


5 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

4 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

6 Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☒ Other (please specify) On staff at AZ DEQ

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☒ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
_____ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:


6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
_____ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- _____ Regular mail
- _____ Fax
- _____ E-mail to my individual address
- _____ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- _____ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- _____ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☒ Other (please specify) BRASOS RIVER AUTHORITY, WACO, TEXAS

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☐ Good

☒ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Seems all emphasis is on health effects data which seems to be on a growing timeline while no efforts being extended toward clean-up. If health effects studies reveal low level ~~hazard~~ health hazard, millions of dollars are becoming billions of dollars for remediation.

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

3 Regular mail

2 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address

 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

4 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

 Other


8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

I became aware of the forum this past May so I am still learning, however, it seems the scope of work for this nationwide activity is ^{far} too narrow. From my literature review, particularly an "Environmental Baseline Survey", perchlorate is one of many constituents in the rocket fuel or explosives manufacturing. One group should have been tasked with screening for the related toxins such as NDMA. Also, some research dollars should be directed toward remediation techniques.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and

for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

The overall message I got from the forum was that the EPA & IPSC is aware that massive quantities of a chemical is entering the environment but nothing is being done about it because we don't know much about it.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☒ USEPA Headquarters (Kevin Mayer)

☒ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact Dan Piegano

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☒ Other (please specify) ITCA

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent

☐ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Please continue to keep this subject on this very important subject!

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☒ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☐ E-mail to my individual address

☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)


☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thanks ... very informational!

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☒ August 25
☐ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☒ Other (please specify) Instructor - Medville

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:


6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- ☒ 1 Regular mail
- ☐ Fax
- ☐ E-mail to my individual address
- ☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- ☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- ☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☒ Other (please specify) ITCA

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

↓
(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☐ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☒ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☒ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Do not get too technical like
Miss Annie, it is way too specific & not
beneficial to all. Boar:ac
6

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Serve at least 16 water

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:


blg to find out

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- ☒ Regular mail
- ☐ Fax
- ☐ E-mail to my individual address
- ☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- ☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- ☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☒ Other (please specify) ASU - WEST

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☒ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☐ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

the photo-copies were often hard to read.

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
☐ Yes ☒ No Your comments and suggestions:


to far from Sky Harbor

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- 2 Regular mail
- 1 Fax
- 3 E-mail to my individual address
- 4 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- 5 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- ☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

_____ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

_____ USEPA Headquarters

☒ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

_____ Federal Register notice

_____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

_____ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

_____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

_____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent

_____ Good

_____ Fair

_____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☐ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☒ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☒ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☐ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☒ Other (please specify) USEPA REGION IX, REP. WAYNE PRASKINS

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

_____ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

_____ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

_____ Regular mail

_____ Fax

_____ E-mail to my individual address

_____ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)


_____ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

_____ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

• • •

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

_____ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

_____ USEPA Headquarters

_____ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

_____ Federal Register notice

_____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

_____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

_____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

_____ Excellent

☒ Good

_____ Fair

_____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☒ 1 Regular mail

☐ Fax

☐ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☒ Other (please specify) Tribal notification

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Some of the presentations involving chemistry and toxicology were technical, and probably too technical for the ^{a part} most of the audience. Efforts should be made to interpret these technical areas to a terminology that the public can understand.
(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
 1 Yes No Your comments and suggestions:


6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
 ✓ Yes No Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- ① Regular mail
- 2 Fax
- 3 E-mail to my individual address
- 5 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- 4 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

☐ Regular mail

☐ Fax

☒ E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25
☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters
☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact
☐ Federal Register notice
☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ
☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ
☐ Information sent by another IPSC member
Which agency? _____
☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____
☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
_____ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
_____ Yes _____ No Your comments and suggestions:


7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- _____ Regular mail
- _____ Fax
- _____ E-mail to my individual address
- _____ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- _____ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- _____ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

• •

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☒ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent

☐ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:


6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?
☒ Yes ☐ No Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

- ☐ Regular mail
- ☐ Fax
- ☒ E-mail to my individual address
- ☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)
- ☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- ☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

____ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

____ USEPA Headquarters

____ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☒ Federal Register notice

____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

____ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent

____ Good

____ Fair

____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Excellent

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

3 Regular mail

2 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address


☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☒ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent

☐ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

3 Regular mail

2 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address


4 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

☐ Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

**Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum**

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

_____ August 25

X August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

_____ USEPA Headquarters

_____ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

_____ Federal Register notice

_____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

_____ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

_____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

_____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

X Excellent

_____ Good

_____ Fair

_____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

* ask that the ~~presenter~~ speakers NOT use fancy colors on their slides because they don't copy well for black & white handouts. Specifically the slides that Anne Jarabek ^{used} didn't copy well for the handouts.

* Also, have someone proof the handouts to make sure they (more, over) are in order & to make sure all of the slide copied.

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

* but it was a lot of information to rush through in one day.

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

I would also like to suggest that a forum be held in the Texas/Arkansas region.

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

3 Regular mail

2 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address


5 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

4 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

 Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☐ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☒ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? ADEQ

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☐ Excellent

☒ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

~~better sound system~~ ^{echoes} Hard to Hear - better Sound System

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

X Yes _____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

3 Regular mail

2 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address


5 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

4 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

6 Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.



I P S C

INTERAGENCY PERCHLORATE STEERING COMMITTEE

Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

____ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

____ USEPA Headquarters

____ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

____ Federal Register notice

____ Information sent by Utah DEQ

____ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

____ Information sent by another IPSC member

Which agency? _____

____ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☒ Other (please specify) tipped off by a concerned citizen

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

____ Yes ? ____ No

Your comments and suggestions:

How come it takes so long to respond and reverse/clean up this problem. This response has been a fast one by EPA standards, but not fast enough.

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

____ Excellent

☒ Good

____ Fair

____ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

I still never learned about how the contamination/releases of perch. occurred.

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

 Yes ✓ No

Your comments and suggestions:

would have been better to allow more time for Q+A.
the time of the conference kept most of the public away.

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

 Yes ✗ No

Your comments and suggestions:

limited parking, difficulty in accessing. University
Staff ~~had~~ disavowed knowledge of conference, even when
I arrived. No BPA signs posted.

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

1 ✗ Regular mail

4 Fax

3 E-mail to my individual address

5 E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)


2 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

6 Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

- 1) Tell the public how to avoid or at least minimize exposure to this!
- 2) Tell us what is being done now to contain the perchlorate in Nevada to prevent further contamination of Vegas Wash + Lake Mead.

Thank you for your comments and suggestions
and
for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.

**Perchlorate Stakeholders Forum**

August 25, 1998 - Salt Lake City, Utah

August 27, 1998 - Phoenix, Arizona

Evaluation form

Please take a few minutes to complete this evaluation and return it at the registration table as you leave today. Your comments will help the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee design future stakeholder involvement activities.

1. Please indicate which forum(s) you attended?

☐ August 25

☒ August 27

2. How did you learn about the perchlorate stakeholders forum?

☐ USEPA Headquarters

☐ Information sent by USEPA Region 8 or Region 9 contact

☐ Federal Register notice

☐ Information sent by Utah DEQ

☒ Information sent by Arizona DEQ

☐ Information sent by another IPSC member

☐ Which agency? _____

☐ Newspaper article - which newspaper? _____

☐ Other (please specify) _____

3. Do you think the selections of topics for the forum was appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

EPA did a great job in coordinating and scheduling this perchlorate forum in AZ. The AZ forum was better than Henderson, NV.

4. Did you find the presentations (check one):

☒ Excellent

☐ Good

☐ Fair

☐ Poor

What would make them better? Your comments and suggestions:

Color transparencies are ok., but EPA Should budget for Computer projectors,

(more, over)

5. Did you like the format of the forum (e.g. presentations followed by facilitated discussions)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

presentations followed by questions was good!

6. Did you like the forum location and facilities?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your comments and suggestions:

Excellent location, but why do visitors have to pay for parking

7. By which method would you prefer to find out about information, meeting notices etc. from the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee? (Please rank your preferences in order; with 1 being the most preferred and 6 being the least preferred)

2 Regular mail

4 Fax

1 E-mail to my individual address

☐ E-mail to a listserv (subject specific email group)

3 Postings to Web site developed by USEPA Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water

☐ Other

8. Please use the space below to provide additional comments and/or suggestions on the forum.

Liked ADEQ last Slide 'Multi images' of child. It helped break the ice between audience and Speakers. It felt good to smile during a forum on an issue that could be the next '3-mile Island'. Seeing that Child's face on the Screen brought the 'purpose' and 'importance' of perchlorate home.

Thank you EPA + ADEQ.
Good Job!

Thank you for your comments and suggestions.
and

for your participation in the perchlorate stakeholders forum.